



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,297 | 11/29/2006 | Axel Schmidt | EIS-1103/500593.20096 | 3515 |
| 26418 7590 09/18/2009 | | | | |
| REED SMITH, LLP | | | | |
| ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT | | | | |
| 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR | | | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| ENSEY, BRIAN | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2614 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 09/18/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/568,297

Applicant(s)

SCHMIDT ET AL.

Examiner

BRIAN ENSEY

Art Unit

2614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRIAN ENSEY.

(3) _____.

(2) Joseph Treloar.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 8-11, 15 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Long et al (US 4361736).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed applicant's microphone rests on a boundary layer parallel to the layer where the prior art is perpendicular to the boundary layer. Discussed the details of the elongate guide and decoupling means. Discussed line out error in proposed amended claim 17. Agreed an RCE must be filed for continuing prosecution and that the proposed amendment will overcome the prior art of the final rejection issued on 6/5/09.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian Ensey/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614